Modulation of impulsivity and attentional performance in high and low attentive rats by methylphenidate and atomoxetine in the 5 choice serial reaction time task

MANCHESTER

The University of Manchester

Jiang W, Burgess MA, Aarons T, Fitzpatrick CM, Hayward A, Grayson B, Neill JC

Division of Pharmacy and Optometry, Stopford Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PT (wanging.jiang@student.manchester.ac.uk; joanna.neill@manchester.ac.uk)



Background

- Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is characterised by core symptoms of inattention and/or high impulsivity.
- These core symptoms enable ADHD to be subclassified into three different subtypes: inattentive, hyperactive-impulsive and combination type.
- Attention and impulsivity can be measured in rodents using the 5-choice serial reaction time task (5-CSRTT), an analogue to human continuous performance task.
- Reducing stimulus duration (SD) in the 5-CSRTT increases attention demand of the task and helps detect the improvement of attention by pharmacological manipulations.
- Current treatments for ADHD include methylphenidate (dopamine transporter (DAT) and noradrenaline transporter (NAT) inhibitor) and atomoxetine (NAT inhibitor).
- Methylphenidate and atomoxetine were shown to increase and decrease impulsivity in the 5-CSRTT respectively, with differential effects seen in attention measures.

Aim: to assess effects of methylphenidate and atomoxetine on attention in high (HA) and low attentive (LA) animals using the 5-CSRTT with variable SD.

Methods

Results: Attention

5-CSRTT Training

A titration method was used to facilitate the 5-CSRTT training. was decreased after a SD correct response or increased omission/ incorrect after an response.

After animal performance stabilised in the titration phase, animals were trained in the final stage (SD fixed at 0.5 sec) until their performance was stable for at least 5 sessions.



Reward Correct collection Stimulus duration Titration phase: hange according t Inter-trial ood trough interval 🗭 LH (5 sec) 🕈 Omissions entry to **Final training stage** (5 sec) start trial fixed at 0.5 sec; **During testing:** randomly switch between 0.25, 0.5 Premature and 0.75 sec Responses Food trough Incorrect response Time-out (5 sec) **Drug Treatment**

Animals were separated into HA and LA subgroups according to their mean % correct responses of the last 5 final-stage training sessions. The testing consisted of 4 treatment days, with each separated by a 1 week wash-out. Animals were randomly assigned into 4 treatment groups before each testing day to receive atomoxetine (first 2 days) or methylphenidate (last 2 days) (0.5, 1 and 2 mg/kg, i.p.).

Results: Training

Animal performance quickly

One-way and two-way ANOVA were used to analyse drug effects on the accuracy/omissions averaged across all SDs (A-C, G-I) and within each SD (D-F, J-L) respectively, followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p <0.001, ****p < 0.0001). All data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Methylphenidate

All subjects

All subjects

0.5 mg/kg 1 mg/kg 2 mg/kg

All subjects

Methylphenidate

Methylphenidate improved attention

- No significant effects of methylphenidate were observed in accuracy.
- Methylphenidate (2 mg/kg) significantly decreased omissions in LA animals at 0.25 sec SD, suggesting improved attention.
- Methylphenidate did not influence the number of trials competed, percentage correct/incorrect responses, perseverative responses or

All subjects









Low Attentive

omissions of LA animals

regardless of which SD



Animal performance remained stable during the experiment

• %correct responses of the HA groups was significantly different from that of the LA group both before and after drug testing (two-tailed t-test, ****p<0.0001; data are presented as mean ± SEM).

stabilised during training

- Measures of the median cue duration (MCD, the medium value of the SD within each session excluding the first 15 trials) (A), attention (B) and response speed (C) quickly stabilised during the first 18 sessions.
- All 40 animals achieved a stable performance in the titration phase after 33.93 ± 0.80 (mean \pm SEM) sessions, with MCD of 0.5 \pm 0.02 sec.
- All 40 animals reached stable performance at 0.5 sec SD after 11 ± 1.03 more sessions.



Results: Impulsivity

Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p <0.001, ****p < 0.0001). All data are shown as an average of all SDs and are presented as mean ± SEM.

Methylphenidate did not affect impulsivity **Methylphenidate**

۲²⁰ %

Atomoxetine decreased impulsivity Atomoxetine

• All three doses



liah Attentive

Low Attentive



- Methylphenidate did not affect impulsivity but improved attention in LA animals; atomoxetine was effective in decreasing impulsivity while worsening attention in all animals.
- Differential effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate may result from distinct mechanisms of actions of these two drugs in prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens and striatum.
- Dual inhibition of NAT and DAT may be a better target for improving attention, while NAT inhibitors may be more effective in decreasing impulsivity.
- This provides great insights in personalizing treatment for different ADHD subtypes and development of improved pharmacotherapies in the future.

References

- Martin, T. J., Grigg, A., Kim, S. A., Ririe, D. G. & Eisenach, J. C. (2015). Assessment of attention of visual cue duration during the five choice serial reaction time task. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 241, 37-43.
- Fernando, A., Economidou, D., Theobald, D., Zou, M.-F., Newman, A., Spoelder, M., Hipólito, L., Aspinall, A., Robbins, T. & Dalley, J. (2012). Modulation of high impulsivity and attentional performance in rats by selective direct and indirect dopaminergic and noradrenergic receptor agonists. Psychopharmacology, 219(2), 341-352.